Seismic methods in mineral exploration and mine planning: A general overview of past and present case histories and a look into the future
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ABSTRACT
Due to high metal prices and increased difficulties in finding shallower deposits, the exploration for and exploitation of mineral resources is expected to move to greater depths. Consequently, seismic methods will become a more important tool to help unravel structures hosting mineral deposits at great depth for mine planning and exploration. These methods also can be used with varying degrees of success to directly target mineral deposits at depth. We review important contributions that have been made in developing these techniques for the mining industry with focus on four main regions: Australia, Europe, Canada, and South Africa. A wide range of case studies are covered, including some that are published in the special issue accompanying this article, from surface to borehole seismic methods, as well as petrophysical data and seismic modeling of mineral deposits. At present, high-resolution 2D surveys mostly are performed in mining areas, but there is a general increasing trend in the use of 3D seismic methods, especially in mature mining camps.

INTRODUCTION
If it is possible to see clearly below a mine site and map the location or extension of the resources there, the cost of production would decrease, and the return on invested capital infrastructure would increase. This is the holy grail of the mineral industry. To see below the surface, the mineral industry employs various geophysical methods. Potential field and electromagnetic methods have been traditionally, and successfully, used in mineral exploration to delineate potential mineralized zones and also discover resources at shallower depths. However, the only surface method that can provide high-definition images of the underground and has the required depth of penetration is the seismic reflection method. The potential of the method for mine planning and exploration has been long known (e.g., Schmidt, 1959; Oblogina et al., 1962; Elliot, 1967; Gupta, 1971; Reid et al., 1979; Hawkins and Whiteley, 1980; Kehrman, 1980; Ruskey, 1981; Wright, 1981; Gladwin, 1982; Nelson, 1980, 1984; Cosma, 1983; Wong et al., 1983; Campbell and Peace, 1984; Gustavsson et al., 1984; Dahle et al., 1985; Galperin, 1985; Fatti, 1987; Mutyorata, 1987; Campbell and Crotty, 1988; Duncan, 1989; Pretorius et al., 1989; Read, 1989; Young et al., 1989; Gendzwill, 1990; Juhlin et al., 1991; Maxwell and Young, 1992; Milkereit et al., 1992c; Spencer et al., 1993; Cao and Greenhalgh, 1995; Frappa and Moinier, 1993; Friedel et al., 1995, 1996; Milkereit et al., 1996; Salisbury et al., 1996; Greenhalgh and Mason, 1997; Hajnal et al., 1997; Urosevic and Evans, 1998; Greenhalgh and Bierbaum, 1998; Urosevic and Evans, 2000; Duweke et al., 2002; Eaton et al., 2003; Perron et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2004), especially 3D seismics, but its full application and acceptance by the mining industry started only recently. This acceptance is mainly due to the ability of seismic methods to provide high-resolution images of subsurface structures, and the fact that they have greater penetration with sufficient resolution, compared with other geophysical methods and can, therefore, better complement drilling and exploration programs. However, as is evidenced in several studies (e.g., Pretorius et al., 2003), the overall performance of seismic methods is highly site- and geology-dependent. The present trend in exploration and exploitation of mineral resources...
at great depth is leading to an increase in the use of seismic methods for targeting deep-seated mineral deposits and for deep mine planning. Seismic methods provide high-resolution images of the geologic structures hosting mineral deposits and, in a few cases, can be used for the direct detection of mineral deposits at depths greater than 1 km. This is not limited to only surface seismic surveys, borehole seismic methods such as vertical seismic profiling (VSP) and crosshole imaging also are increasingly being used. To date, tens of 2D and 3D surface seismic surveys have been acquired in Canada, Europe, Australia, and South Africa (Figure 1) for mineral exploration and exploitation purposes. Based on an increasing number of activities, seismic methods are slowly, but surely becoming established techniques in the mining sector. This brings new opportunities for geophysicists, but also new challenges. In this overview article, we aim to highlight worldwide recent advancements in data acquisition, processing, and imaging of mineral deposits and their host rock structures. Special focus will be given to results published in the special section of “Seismic methods in mineral exploration and mine planning” in this special issue of Geophysics. We limit our review mainly to regions in Europe, South Africa, Canada, and Australia because the articles in the special issue generally deal with these areas. However, we also review a few published accounts from early, but pioneering works, that led to the development of seismic methods in the hard-rock environment. We end the paper by looking ahead and present potential areas of future development of seismic methods in the hard-rock environment.

Early work by geologic surveys indicated that the crystalline basement also was a target for seismic reflection profiling (e.g., Moss and Jones, 1974; Mathur et al., 1977). However, an important catalyst for the application of seismic methods for mineral exploration was the successful imaging of fault and fracture zones in the hard-rock environment for the characterization of nuclear-waste repository sites (Noponen et al., 1979; Mair and Green, 1981; Green and Mair, 1983; Juhlin et al., 1991; Juhlin, 1995; Cosma et al., 2001; Juhlin and Stephens, 2006). Large-scale seismic investigations in Canada (e.g., Lithoprobe), the USA (e.g., COCORP), Australia (AGSO), Europe (Europrobe), and South Africa (National Geophysics Programme) also were very important in developing the necessary techniques for imaging challenging and complex geologic structures in the crystalline environment (e.g., Ruskey, 1981; Clowes et al., 1984; Milkereit et al., 1992c; Juhlin et al., 1995; Milkereit et al., 1996; Milkereit and Eaton, 1998; Ayarza et al., 2000; Durrheim et al., 1991, Odgers et al., 1993; Calvert et al., 2003; Eaton et al., 2010). Extensive 2D seismic surveys to map the structure and explore for extensions of the gold-bearing Witwatersrand Basin of South Africa commenced in the early 1980s (Campbell and Peace, 1984; Durrheim, 1986; Pretorius et al., 1989; Chambovet et al., 2006). The first 3D seismic survey for mineral exploration was conducted in South Africa in 1987 (Campbell and Crotty, 1990; Campbell, 1994). Shortly thereafter, a 3D seismic survey for Ni-Cu exploration was conducted in the Sudbury complex in 1995 (Milkereit et al., 1996, 2000). Between 1996–2002, Noranda Inc. (now Xstrata) supported a large number of 2D and 3D seismic surveys for the purpose of deep exploration (<1500 m) in Canada. Adopting an approach of cost-benefit evaluation of 3D seismic used in hydrogen exploration, Pretorius et al. (1997) conducted the first successful application of 3D seismic for mine planning and development. The first successful 3D seismic delineation of a blind massive sulfide deposit was reported from the western part of the Bathurst mining camp, in the Halfmile Lake area, Canada, at a depth of about 1.2 km (Matthews, 2002; Bellefleur et al., 2004b; Malehmir and Bellefleur, 2009). These surveys were well integrated with petrophysical measurements carried out in boreholes and in the laboratory to constrain the seismic interpretations. Multi-disciplinary works for studying large-scale structures hosting mineral deposits also are reported by several authors in Australia (e.g., Goleby et al., 2002; Evans et al., 2003; Williams et al., 2004; Stolz et al., 2004; Urosevic et al., 2005), in Canada (Roy and Clowes, 2000), and in Europe (Juhlin et al., 2002; Korja and Heikkilä, 2005; Malehmir et al., 2006, 2007).

**SEISMIC TECHNIQUES AND THEIR BASIS**

**Petrophysical measurements and target considerations**

Eaton et al. (2010) report that it was when laboratory measurements for acoustic properties were being carried out during the Lithoprobe project (e.g., Salisbury et al., 1996) that the strong reflective character of massive sulfide deposits in typical volcano-sedimentary rocks was accidentally discovered. Salisbury et al. (1996, 2003) performed systematic measurements of velocities and densities of massive sulfides and their host rocks (also see Ji et al., 2002). They showed that these
deposits invariably lie far to the right of the Nafe-Drake curve in crossplots of velocity against density, mainly due to their very high density (Figure 2). Note that massive sulfides rich in pyrite (a noneconomic sulfide mineral) tend to have higher velocities (e.g., those reported from Lokken Verk in Norway), whereas massive sulfides rich in pyrrhotite (e.g., those reported from Kristineberg and Flin Flon in Sweden and Canada, respectively) tend to fall along the mixing lines between pure pyrrhotite and mafic host rocks (Figure 2). Case studies presented in this special section (e.g., Dehghannejad et al., 2012; Duff et al., 2012; Malehmir et al., 2012a; Malinowski et al., 2012) are consistent with this observation. Malehmir et al. (2011) report that magnetite-rich (>40% Fe) iron deposits show a significant acoustic impedance contrast against almost all lithologies (see Figure 2).

Successful imaging of mineral deposits and their host rock structures (including fractures and faults), apart from a prerequisite of significant contrast in the seismic velocity and density, requires that the target dimension and geometry are considered. Typical seismic wavelengths for waves propagating in the hard-rock environment are on the order of 50–100 m, a value that increases with depth. Therefore, targets located at about 1000 m depth cannot be resolved vertically and horizontally if they are less than about 20 m thick and 350 m long, respectively. Targets thinner than 20 m still can be detected, but their amplitude will be mainly dependent on the geometry of the target and not solely on the impedance contrast. Targets horizontally shorter than 350 m also can be detected, but the response will be a combination of reflections and diffractions (e.g., Eaton, 1999; Bohlen et al., 2003). Most economical-sized exploration targets, if they have no clear reflection character, fall within the so-called Mie-scattering regime (Eaton et al., 2003), implying that the shape of the ore deposit has a first-order control on the P-wave scattering response. Unlike point diffractors or spherical bodies, dipping lenticular, or ellipsoidal inclusions focus scattered P-waves in the specular direction, that is downdip from the orebody (Eaton, 1999; Eaton et al., 2003). An example of a specular diffraction/reflection generated by approximately 6–8 Mt massive sulfide deposits at about 1.2-km depth is reported in Malehmir and Bellefleur (2009). In favorable situations, small-size massive sulfide deposits of 0.15–0.2 Mt may be detected at shallower depths, as reported by Urosevic et al. (2012).

**Surface and borehole acquisition techniques**

Three-dimensional surface seismic surveys are the ideal solution in complex geologic environments such as mining areas. However, mainly due to economic restrictions, 2D surveys often are conducted. Main challenges here are the interpretation and processing of 2D seismic data, especially in complex mining areas where the data often are acquired along crooked lines (Wu, 1996; Zaleski et al., 1997; Nedimović and West, 2003). Out-of-the-plane structures typically are present, and these pose challenges in the processing and interpretation of data acquired in mining areas. Figure 3 shows a comparison between a strong seismic anomaly observed in 2D data with that observed in 3D data (see Malehmir et al., 2010). The bright spot observed on the 2D section is generated by a massive sulfide deposit nearly 700 m away from the 2D line. This example demonstrates the benefit of 3D surveys for better delineation of mineral deposits at depth.
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Figure 3. Comparison showing a seismic anomaly observed in 2D data with its actual location in 3D data (from Malehmir et al., 2010). The seismic anomaly is from an approximately 6–8 Mt massive sulfide deposit known as the “deep zone” at about 1.2-km depth (Matthews, 2002).
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Figure 2. Acoustic properties of most common crystalline rocks and ores (modified after Salisbury et al., 2003). Shown also are magnetite and massive sulfide samples from Dannemora and Kristineberg, Sweden (Malehmir et al., 2012a), and massive sulfide samples from Flin Flon, Canada (White et al., 2012).
Surface seismic surveys are suitable for mapping gently to moderately dipping reflectors, otherwise downhole surveys are preferable. Downhole seismic surveys such as side scan, VSP and mine seismic profiling (MSP), and in-mine seismic surveys are best suited for imaging steeply dipping to subvertical structures (e.g., Price, 1974; Cosma, 1983; Wong et al., 1983, 1984; Gustavsson et al., 1984; Galperin, 1985; Peterson et al., 1985; Spathis et al., 1985; Harman et al., 1987; Mutyorata et al., 1987; Duncan et al., 1989; Juhlin et al., 1991; Sinadinovski et al., 1995; Frappa and Moinier, 1993; Cao and Greenhalgh, 1997; Greenhalgh and Bierbaum, 1998; Urosevic and Evans, 2000; Greenhalgh et al., 2000, 2003; Wong, 2000; Adam et al., 2003; Cosma et al., 2003, 2007; Perron et al., 2003; Bellefleur et al., 2004a, 2004b; Xu and Greenhalgh, 2010). They have typically higher resolution than surface seismic data, which make them attractive for delineating fracture and fault zones for mine planning or as a complement to surface seismic surveys. In addition, they allow direct estimation of the medium velocity, which is required for prestack time/depth migrations. An extensive program of seismic investigations for geophysical delineation of subvertical diamond-bearing kimberlite pipes was conducted at Diavik in the Northwest Territory of Canada during the years 2004–2006 (Cosma and Enescu, 2011). Figure 4 shows an example of side-scan (see Zheng and Stewart, 1997) data that clearly allows the geometry of a kimberlite pipe in 3D. After processing, the migrated seismic profiles were integrated with pipe models, using all available information, to honor geologic data from boreholes (pierce points). Examples of successful downhole imaging for exploration and mine planning are provided by Mueller et al. (2012) and Wood et al. (2012), respectively. For example, Wood et al. (2012) show how steeply dipping reflections from faults can be mapped closer to the surface on VSP data than on surface seismic data, an important outcome that was critically important for mine planning at the Millennium uranium deposit, northern Saskatchewan, Canada. Urosevic and Evans (1998) use a combination of surface and downhole seismic reflection techniques to characterize a thin kimberlite pipe in the Northern Territory, Australia.

**Processing considerations**

In most hard-rock environments, the seismic velocities (>5.5 km/s) and densities (>2650 kg/m³) of rocks are higher than those commonly encountered in conventional seismic exploration for hydrocarbons, and this has to be taken into account during acquisition and processing. Reflections often are reliably observed, even though the reflection coefficients are relatively low because attenuation also generally is low. Very few multiple reflections appear to be present on the records in spite of clear primary reflections. Small reflection coefficients and the fact that there is no general decrease in reflection amplitude due to attenuation (apart from the geometrical spreading) with depth, in contrast to the situation generally found in young sedimentary basins, best explain this observation. An alternative explanation also is that the absence of detectable multiple reflections in many situations is due to the low-reflection coefficients, which result in multiple reflections below the noise threshold. Ground-roll velocity often is high (about 3000 m/s with a dominant frequency of 10–15 Hz) where Precambrian strata outcrop, and consequently, vibrator and geophone arrays do not always suppress ground-roll effectively. However, ground-roll can often be suppressed by simple temporal filtering or the application of various multichannel filters to help preserve the signal bandwidth. Lastly, the normal moveout on the common midpoint gathers is small due to the high velocities, restricting the sensitivity of velocity analysis. Because most geologic targets have small dimensions, processing methods should aim at preserving the potential diffraction signals from these targets. Therefore, prestack dip moveout (DMO) along with poststack migration algorithms still may be more useful in hard-rock data processing and mining applications than prestack migration (e.g., Adam et al., 2003).

**CASE HISTORIES: PAST AND PRESENT**

Here we present past and current research activities on the use and implementations of seismic methods for mineral exploration and mine planning. Seismic methods have been used with different

---

**Figure 4.** (a) Aerial photo from Diavik diamond mine in Northwest Territory of Canada. (b) Seismic interpretations highlighting the shape of the kimberlite pipe from four boreholes around the pipe. The irregular shape of the pipe may generate superposed reflections arriving from different azimuths with slightly different time functions. It is not evident which of these reflection events lay exactly in the imaging plane and thus, an allowance for this ambiguity has been made. The more regular the shape of the pipe, the less ambiguity. (c) Reshaping of the pipe model based on the interpretations from the seismic side scans, shown in blue lines. Initial model is shown on the left and final model on the right. Figure is provided by Vibrometric (also see Cosma and Enescu, 2011).
success and extent in different regions and this is somewhat reflected in the following summaries. Application of seismic methods for mineral exploration first became established in South Africa, and later extensively developed in Canada and to some extent to Australia, before being implemented on a larger scale in Europe.

**Australia**

Geoscience Australia has been a leading organization in Australia with more than 40 years experience in land seismic surveys and has acquired more than 15,000 km of deep land crustal seismic reflection data (see www.ga.gov.au) and numerous 2D seismic refraction profiles (Figure 5). Many of these surveys cross major mineral provinces of Australia such as Mount Isa and the mineral provinces of the Yilgarn craton. Some major and recent seismic programs by Geoscience Australia, together with its partners, are the onshore energy security program (OESP), AuScope, and the Research Facility for Earth Sounding (ANSIR). For example, a deep seismic reflection survey recently acquired across major gold producing regions of southeast Australia provides important insights into the crustal-scale fluid pathways and possible source rocks for the mineral deposits (Willman et al., 2009). The seismic data show that the areas with the greatest gold endowment lie above lower crustal regions that have preserved the thickest succession of "fertile" mafic igneous rocks in zones up to about 25 km thick (Willman et al., 2009). Moreover, the seismic data show that the transition from predominantly shallow- to steeply dipping fault segments occurs in the middle-to-upper crust near the boundary between thick imbricated meta-volcanic rocks that lie immediately below 6 to 15 km of folded meta-sedimentary rocks. Willman et al. (2009) conclude that this transition might have coincided with fluid escape zones that aided the transfer of permeability away from first-order faults and into the overlying fold dominated turbidites.

Figure 6 clearly demonstrates how major discoveries in recent years have trended toward greater depth in Australia (Schodde, 2011), although shallow deposits still are being discovered. Curtin University, forecasting the need for deep geophysical methods capable of delineating mineral deposits at depth, initiated an experimental program in 2004 consisting of high-resolution seismic reflection surveys across six mine sites to image complex structures hosting gold deposits in the depth range 100–1500 m (Urosevic et al., 2005, 2007). Several major mineral exploration companies supported the program through the Western Australian Government via the Minerals and Energy Research Institute of Western Australia (MERIWA). Prior to this program, in 2002, several low- and high-resolution seismic lines were reprocessed and reanalyzed in the Kambalda, a major gold field region about 60 km from the mining city of Kalgoorlie in Western Australia, which allowed high-quality seismic images of structures hosting gold mineralization to be investigated (Urosevic et al., 2007). This led to the acquisition of approximately 150 km of new high-resolution seismic data in 2004. Initial results of this study were summarized in Urosevic et al. (2005). The most extensive component of this program included seismic test surveys over the Kambalda dome that hosts numerous gold and massive sulfide deposits. The project was preceded by several pilot studies, which involved reprocessing, and reanalysis of regional seismic data acquired in 1999 by Geoscience Australia. The most important 2D seismic line, termed Victory because it passed near the Victory mine site, was acquired in 2002 (Figure 7). This survey was acquired with a close source/receiver spacing of only 10 m in contrast to previous regional...
surveys that utilized 40 m receiver spacing and 80 m shot spacing. Highly encouraging results were obtained in 2004 after reprocessing and reanalysis of the Victory seismic line (Stolz et al., 2004; Urosevic et al., 2005, 2007). Vast improvements of the quality of seismic images were obtained through computation of accurate weathering statics, several passes of constant velocity scans, DMO corrections, and post- and prestack depth imaging, constrained by the known geologic structures. The images were used to analyze the orientation and extent of known thrusts. Subsequently it was realized that smaller scale structures also could be identified in the data (Figure 7).

Encouraged by this result, 17 additional lines were recorded over the Kambalda dome during 2004 within the program. Comprehensive data analysis followed that included integrating data from regional geology, logging, potential field modeling, and the reflection seismic surveys. New exploration strategies were developed using the seismic images to produce accurate structural models. Figure 8 shows an example of successive high-resolution seismic lines integrated into mine scale geology across a central zone of the St. Ives gold mine camp. Important gold mineralization zones are associated with seismically reflective shear zones along normal and relaxation faults (Urosevic et al., 2007). After these successes, seismic exploration of the Yilgarn craton accelerated and the MERIWA project evolved into a larger research program named the Centre of Excellence for High-Definition Geophysics (CHDG) that was sponsored by the state government and supported by industry via targeted research grants.

Initial 2D seismic images obtained under the program further demonstrated that reflection seismic data were of great value to the mining industry and allowed the research program to expand from basic 2D field trials at an experimental level to 3D seismic surveys, the method of choice for precise targeting of the extensions of existing deposits and mapping new mining targets. To date, a significant number of 3D seismic surveys have been conducted in the Kambalda region for Consolidated Minerals, Independent Group, Mincor, BHP Nickel West, and a wider area such as Weebo Well (Poseidon Nickel), Spotted Qual (Newexco), Geraldton area, Golden Grove, Oxiana 3D (MMG), Pilbara region (Rio Tinto), and Ranger (ERA). Several 3D surveys were conducted for nickel exploration in the Kambalda region with great success (Urosevic et al., 2008). Urosevic et al. (2012) show an example of results from a 3D survey conducted over massive sulfide deposits in the Kambalda region with volumetric interpretation, enabling the precise mapping of the key ultramafic-basalt interface where most of the Ni-sulfide deposits are found.

Within the CHDG program, the research diversified in the types of mineral deposits to be targeted (gold, nickel, diamonds, uranium, copper, zinc, and iron ore) and now under the Deep Exploration Technologies Cooperative Research Centre (DETCRC) the focus is on advancing in general the application of seismic methods for mineral exploration in the hard-rock environment.

Canada

Several publications summarize the application of seismic reflection methods for mining exploration in Canada. In particular, Eaton et al. (2010) present a comprehensive overview of the work undertaken during the Lithoprobe program, a national research project that combined multidisciplinary Earth science studies of the Canadian landmass and surrounding offshore margins (also see Clowes et al., 1984). Lithoprobe was instrumental in establishing...
acquisition, processing, and interpretation approaches suitable for the hard-rock environment that is typical to several volcanogenic massive sulfide mining camps across Canada. This includes the Bathurst (Salisbury et al., 1997), Buchans (Spencer et al., 1993; Wright et al., 1994), Manitouwadge (Roberts et al., 2003), Mata-gami (Milkereit et al., 1992a; Adam et al., 1996, 1998, 2003; Calvert and Li, 1999), Noranda (Adam et al., 1992; Verpaelst et al., 1995; Perron and Calvert, 1998), Selbaie (Milkereit et al., 1992b; Perron et al., 1997), Sudbury (White et al., 1994; Wu et al., 1995; Adam et al., 2000; Milkereit et al., 2000), and Thompson (White et al., 1997, 2000) mining camps. During Lithoprobe, seismic reflection methods were proposed as a deep exploration tool that could improve the knowledge of structures and stratigraphy in existing mining camps, but also to provide drilling targets at depths beyond those achieved with conventional geophysical mining methods.

Several Canadian mining companies were active partners of the Lithoprobe initiative, and some continued to use and develop seismic methods for their exploration projects. For example, Noranda Inc. (now Xstrata) acquired several post-Lithoprobe 2D and 3D seismic data sets for VHMS exploration in the Bathurst and Abitibi mining camps. One of the best-known surveys acquired by Noranda is the Halfmile Lake 3D survey (New Brunswick) that led to the discovery of a 6–8 Mt massive sulfide lens at a depth of 1.2 km (Figure 9; Salisbury et al., 2000; Matthews, 2002; Malehmir and Bellefleur, 2009). Similar 3D surveys in the Sudbury complex also were successful in delineating massive sulfide deposits (see Milkereit et al., 2000). Figure 10 shows examples of 2D and 3D surveys over one of the Sudbury Ni-Cu-PGE deposits. Noranda and Aur Resources Inc. (now Teck Cominco) also partnered in the acquisition of the Louvicourt 3D survey near Val d’Or where the joint use of surface seismic data and borehole EM data identified an extensive zone of disseminated sulfides (Adam et al., 2007). Some of the data and results from Noranda’s work were not publicly released, but are now being revisited. Malehmir and Bellefleur (2010) and Cheraghi et al. (2011, 2012) summarize the results of 2D and 3D seismic profiles acquired by Noranda Inc. near the Brunswick No. 6 mine in a highly folded and deformed area characterized by steeply dipping stratigraphy. Results show successful imaging of the steeply dipping stratigraphy, including a group of reflections containing one of the key mineralized horizons (e.g., the Brunswick horizon). Bellefleur et al. (personal communication, 2012) present 2D seismic data from the Noranda mining camp (Québec), demonstrating that prospective exhalative horizons (chemical sedimentary rocks, usually containing oxide, carbonate, or sulfide as anions, and iron, magnesium,
base metals, and gold formed by the issuance of volcanically de-

dived fluids onto the sea floor or into the sea), can be detected with

seismic methods. The contrast of acoustic properties between ande-
site and rhyolite units located on each side of exhalite produces seis-

mic reflections.

Seismic reflection methods also have been used for diamond explora-

tion or delineation of diamond-bearing formations in several loca-

tions in Canada. In the Northwest Territories, a 2D seismic sur-

vey conducted across the De Beers Snap Lake mine produced im-

ages that tracked a 2–3-m-thick diamondiferous dike in crystall-

eine rocks from the near surface to depths greater than 1300 m

(Hammer et al., 2004). In the Fort à la Corne kimberlite field of

central Saskatchewan, seismic surveys have been conducted in a

much different geologic setting. There, the diamondiferous kimber-
lites are intruded and interstratified with terrestrial and marine sedi-

ments. Two-dimensional surveys, reported by Gendzwill and Matiesh

(1996), White et al. (2007), and White and Kjarsgaard

(2012), were able to delineate the various volcanic/sedimentary facies,

offsetting and, in some cases, the underlying feeder vents. In a

comparable setting, VSP surveys conducted at the Victor mine near

Attiwapiskat, Ontario, and at the Diavik mine in the Northwest

Territories were used to trace the vertical sidewall of kimberlite

pipes (Bellefleur et al., 2004a; Cosma and Enescu, 2011).

Seismic exploration for uranium deposits in Canada has focused

on the Athabasca basin of northern Saskatchewan and Alberta. Seis-
mic methods are well-suited to exploration in this setting as the ur-

anium deposits typically occur near the unconformity that separates

an undeformed clastic sequence from underlying metamorphic

crystalline basement rocks. As summarized by Hajnal et al.

(2010), the first successful demonstration of high-resolution seismic

methods during the Lithoprobe project spawned several subsequent

2D exploration surveys at Shea Creek in 1997, McArthur River in

2004 (e.g., Gyorfi et al., 2007; White et al., 2007), Russell and

Moore Lake in 2004–2005, and Midwest West and Millennium

3D surveys in 2007 (e.g., Juhojuntti et al., 2012; Wood et al., 2012).

Hathor Resources performed the first seismic-based major uranium

discovery in 2007, leading to a 2010 total resource of 12.1 million

pounds of U3O8.

Canadian mining camps often are characterized by steeply dip-

ping stratigraphy that is sometimes difficult to image with surface

acquisition geometries. As discussed earlier, borehole seismic im-

aging often is more appropriate than surface methods in such steeply
dipping environments for providing images of the stratigraphy,

structures, and orebodies. Borehole seismic surveys were acquired

in the Sudbury, Abitibi, and Bathurst mining camps (Eaton et al.,

1996; Adam et al., 2003; Perron et al., 2003; Mueller et al., 2012).

Part of this work was carried out by the Downhole Seismic Imaging

(DSI) consortium created in the late 1990s by Falconbridge, Inco,

Noranda, Quan tec Geoscience, and the Geological Survey of Ca-

nada to assess the usefulness of downhole seismic methods for ex-

ploration of massive sulfide deposits in steeply dipping stratigraphy.

DSI data acquired over the Halfmile Lake deposit (Bellefleur et al.,

2004b) identify a complex scattering response from a deep

sulfide lens (1.2 km) that included P-P, P-S, S-P, and S-S waves,

demonstrating that mode-converted and shear waves also are valu-
able signals to record for mineral exploration. At the ore scale,
crosshole seismic surveys were used successfully to delineate


and Perrozi et al. (2012) present case studies from the Voisey’s

Bay nickel deposit, Labrador, in this respect. Hurich and Deemer

(personal communication, 2012) report one of the first applications

of seismic interferometry for mineral exploration, which combines

surface and borehole imaging at Voisey’s Bay. Their approach is

supported by physical rock property measurements showing that

the choice of a suitable seismic imaging method can vary spatially

according to compositional variations within a deposit (Duff

et al., 2012).

Recent developments in Canada include the utilization of multi-

component seismic data for VHMS and gold exploration. For

example, Snyder et al. (2008) present 2D multicomponent high-

resolution data acquired in the Timmins mining camp, Ontario,

as part of the Discover Abitibi initiative. The processing of horizon-
tal components following P-S conversions clarified the interpreta-

tion of faults and lithologies that were imaged previously on vertical

component data. Malinowski et al. (2011) present P-S converted

wave imaging of 2D seismic data acquired in the Flin Flon mining

camp, Manitoba. The P-S images provide complementary informa-

tion to conventional P-P images, including a prominent P-S reflec-
tion associated with the ore zones. Snyder et al. (2008) and

Malinowski et al. (2011) determine that Vp/Vs or Poisson’s ratio
derived from 3C data could help discriminate lithologies in the

mining environment. New 2D and 3D results from the Flin Flon

mining camp are presented by White and Malinowski (2012), White

et al. (2012), and Malinowski et al. (2012). However, more work is

required to understand all the benefits of using nonconventional

wave-modes in mineral exploration (Bellefleur et al., 2012).

Europe

Application of seismic methods for mining purposes in Europe is

widespread. One of the earliest seismic surveys in a hard-rock en-

vironment was carried out in 1927 to investigate ore deposits near

Krivoy Rog (Karaev and Rabinovich, 2000). In the 1940s, refrac-

tion seismic technology was used to study the crystalline basement

of the former Soviet Union (Gamburtsev et al., 1952; Sharov, 1997;

Karaev and Rabinovich, 1995). High-frequency seismic methods

were first attempted in the mid-1950s to image mineral deposits

and map vertically layered structures (Berson, 1957). Numerous pa-

pers on the correlation between seismic velocities and physical

parameters of mineral deposits were published subsequently (Dor-

tman and Magid, 1969; Krylov et al., 1990). By the late 1980s, sev-

eral case studies documenting the potential of seismic techniques as

a mapping tool in the hard-rock environment had been performed.

Figure 11 shows results from a VSP investigation in the Kola

Peninsula, Russia, of a mafic-ultramafic intrusion containing nickel

mineralization (Shopin, 1981). As evident on Figure 11, the VSP
data clearly image the mafic-ultramafic layers that host the major

nickel mineralization. From the available Russian literature, it ap-

pears that a large number of mineral deposits, such as nickel, zinc,
copper, and uranium, were discovered using reflection seismic
methods during the Soviet period in various regions such as in

the Ural Mountains, in Kazakhstan, in the Baltic Shield region,

and in Eastern Siberia. However, today there are few reports on

the use of seismic methods for mining applications in Russia.

In contrast, in the western and central parts of Europe, especially in

Finland and Sweden, several published accounts of using high-

resolution seismic methods for mineral exploration and site charac-

terizations have been reported recently (e.g., Juhlin and Palm,

1999; Cosma et al., 2003; Malehmir et al., 2006, 2007, 2009a,
In Finland, the Finnish reflection seismic experiment (FIRE, 2001–2005) and high-resolution reflection seismics for ore exploration (HIRE, 2006–2010) projects acquired more than 2000 km of high-resolution reflection seismic data, large portions of which were over major mining regions (Kukkonen and Lahtinen, 2006; Kukkonen et al., 2011a). For example, during the HIRE project, 15 exploration and mining camps in a diverse selection of geologic environments containing Cu, Ni, Cr, PGE, Zn, and Au deposits were surveyed using a network of 2D seismic lines (Kukkonen et al., 2011b). Figure 12 shows an example seismic section of data acquired over the Outokumpu mineral deposits. These seismic data provided the motivation for a deep-drilling program at the Outokumpu site (Kukkonen et al., 2011b). Kukkonen et al. (2012) present an overview of these results with focus on the exploration implications of their seismic images. In particular, a strong reflection imaged by their seismic data is associated to a massive sulfide mineralization, requiring further investigations. Fracture zones also are well imaged in the seismic data. In Sweden, initial successful imaging of faults and fracture zones within Precambrian crystalline rocks was driven by experimental surveys for mineral exploration and the characterization of radioactive waste storage sites (e.g., Juhlin et al., 1991; Juhlin, 1995). This early successful high-resolution seismic reflection work by Juhlin et al. (1991), allowing imaging of fracture zones and lithological contrasts (Figure 13), paved the way for two larger projects in Sweden to study large-scale structures hosting mineral deposits, namely GEORANGE3D (e.g., Malehmir et al., 2006, 2007, 2009a, 2009b; Tryggvason et al., 2006; Hüb et al., 2009) and VINNOVA4D (Dehghannejad et al., 2010, 2012a, 2012b; Skyttä et al., 2010; Bauer et al., 2011). These projects were of a multidisciplinary nature and allowed the construction of a 3D geologic model of a major mining district of northern Sweden. Figure 14 shows results from a high-resolution seismic profile acquired near the Kristineberg massive sulfide mine (Dehghannejad et al., 2010), suggesting the continuation of the orebody at a moderate dip toward the west. Earlier seismic profiles collected in the area (Tryggvason et al., 2006; Ehsan et al., 2012) did not provide the detailed images of the structures as provided by this high-resolution seismic survey. In a different location in Sweden, Malehmir et al. (2011) report on an attempt at 3D seismic imaging of an iron ore mineralization using data from a crooked 2D seismic profile acquired near the Dannemora iron ore mine in central Sweden. Clear reflections from known deposits suggested that the iron ore deposits also could be imaged using surface seismic methods. The seismic velocity and density of the iron ore is shown on Figure 2 (see Malehmir et al., 2012b). Results from two...
high-resolution reflection seismic profiles near the Kiruna mine in northern Sweden are presented (Jensen et al., personal communication), suggesting the continuation of this world-class orebody to depths greater than 2 km.

Three-dimensional seismic surveys in Europe until recently were limited to site characterizations related to nuclear-waste storage locations (e.g., Schmelzbach et al., 2007). Three-dimensional reflection seismic surveying for mining applications now is becoming increasingly common in Europe with a recent survey in northern Portugal using a nearly 30-years-old 3D seismic profile of very low fold data that showed a strong reflection. This survey was conducted for mine planning and deep exploration. Integration of the results with VSP data allowed for imaging of steep to near-vertical faults that are important for deep mine planning. A seismic exploration target also was drilled and intersected a sequence of sulfide mineralizations in a depth range between 400 to 800 m. More recently, two large 3D seismic surveys were acquired in Portugal and Ireland. These surveys aimed at increasing the knowledge on the continuation of existing massive sulfide deposits to deeper levels and at potentially introducing new exploration targets at depth near existing mines. In the case of Portugal, the 3D survey was motivated by a nearly 30-years-old seismic profile of very low fold data that showed a strong reflection associated with an existing massive sulfide body (D. West, personal communication, 2011).

Africa

Prospectors discovered rich deposits of diamonds and gold in southern Africa in the latter half of the nineteenth century, which gave rise to an industry that soon became a cornerstone of the economy. During the twentieth century geophysical methods were used to search for new ore bodies. In the 1980s, reflection seismic surveying was first used to explore for gold in the hard-rock environments. It was soon applied to exploration for platinum, base metals, and coal. Reflection seismics have since become a standard tool for mineral exploration and mine design in southern Africa. Here, we briefly report some of the most well-known examples from the Witwatersrand Basin and Bushveld Complex, and later briefly present recent work on base metals and diamond exploration as well as those conducted for mine planning.

The Witwatersrand Basin has produced about one-third of the gold ever mined, worldwide. The Witwatersrand basin is Archean in age, and largely covered by younger strata. In the 1930s and 1940s, gravity and magnetic methods were used to discover and develop the Far West Rand, Klerksdorp, and Free State gold fields, and mining soon reached depths exceeding 3 km. However, potential field methods are unable to image the detailed structure of deep- ple buried strata, and deep drilling is costly and slow. Reflection seismic methods were implemented in the 1980s, rejuvenating exploration activities and contributing to the discovery of the South Deep orebody (Haslett, 1994) and new resources in the Bothaville Gap (Gray et al., 1994; Tucker et al., 1994). The adaptation of reflection seismic technology to the hard-rock environment over the last quarter century has been described by several authors, e.g., Campbell and Peace (1984), Durheim (1986), Pretorius et al. (1989), Campbell (1990), Campbell and Crotty (1990), Durrheim et al. (1991), Campbell (1994), De Wet and Hall (1994), Pretorius et al. (1994), Weder (1994), Pretorius and Trewick (1997), Gibson et al. (2000), Stuart et al. (2000), Pretorius et al. (2000), and Gilliot et al. (2005). A comprehensive review of the use of reflection seismology to map the Witwatersrand Basin is provided by Pretorius et al. (2003).

Gold was discovered in 1886 in quartz pebble conglomerates that crop out near present-day Johannesburg. As mining proceeded, the dipping “reefs” were found to persist to great depths. The conglomerates (or the reef) had been deposited on the rim of an Archean sedimentary basin, which largely is covered by younger strata. The reefs were traced by geologic inference and geophysical mapping, and new gold fields were discovered in the East Rand district in 1914, the Far West Rand and Klerksdorp districts in 1937, the Orange Free State in 1946, and the Kinross district in 1955. The gold ore bodies generally are thinner than 2 m and are seismically indistinguishable from the enclosing quartzites. Fortunately, marker horizons with significant seismic impedance contrasts occur within tens to hundreds of meters of the ore bodies. These horizons have been used to image horsts or antitlines that may bring the reefs to mineable depths, and grabens or synclines that may have preserved the reefs from erosion. Anglo American Corporation was the first company to commission a 2D seismic survey in this part of the basin. Between 1983 and 2003, it had acquired more than 16,000 km of 2D data on the Kaapvaal craton and seven 3D surveys to assist gold mine planning and development (Pretorius et al., 2003). JCI Geophysical Unit initiated synthetic seismogram modeling in mid-1982, followed by several test surveys over gold prospects in 1983 (Campbell, 1990). Among the most notable early successes was the delineation of the De Bron fault on the Joel mine lease area in the Free State gold fields. However, 2D seismics could not resolve the structural complexity of JCI’s South Deep prospect in the West Rand gold field, and consequently, the first hard-rock 3D seismic survey in South Africa was conducted in 1987 (Campbell and Crotty, 1990). South Deep mine has since come into production. With some 78 million ounces of resources and 29 million ounces of reserves, it is one of the world’s greatest gold ore bodies. Figure 15 shows an example seismic section extracted from a 3D seismic cube over the Driefontein Gold mine (one of the deepest gold mines in the Witwatersrand Basin). The two key gold-bearing horizons, the Black Reef Formation and

Figure 14. Three-dimensional view showing two recent high-resolution reflection seismic profiles acquired over the Kristineberg massive sulfide deposits (from Dehghannejad et al., 2010). A strong set of reflections marked by a red arrow indicates the continuation of existing deposits. Average seismic velocity and density of these deposits are shown in Figure 2.
The Bushveld Complex is estimated to contain about 70% of the world’s reserves of platinum group elements, as well as large reserves of chrome, copper, nickel, and vanadium. The most important ore bodies are the Merensky Reef and the UG2 Chromitite Reef. Following the success of the reflection seismic method in mapping down-dip extensions of Witwatersrand Basin ore bodies, the technology was applied to the Bushveld Complex, and soon proved its worth for exploration and mine planning. Figure 17 shows a long seismic transect over the complex (Campbell, 2011). It clearly shows the potential of reflection seismic methods for imaging of large-scale structures hosting the mineralization in the area, e.g., the UG2 layer. The application of 2D and 3D reflection seismics in the Bushveld Complex has been described in the literature and reports (Campbell, 1990; 1994; Durrheim and Maccelari, 1991; Ogders et al., 1993; Davison and Chunnutt, 1999; Larroque et al., 2002; Stevenson et al., 2003; Trickett et al., 2005, 2007, 2009). A comprehensive review is published by Campbell (2011).

Reflection seismic data acquired on the surface in the Witwatersrand Basin and Bushveld Complex typically have wavelengths of 60–100 m. Consequently, it is difficult to detect faults with throws less than 20 m. However, displacements of the reef as small as two meters may present difficulties to mining operations. The Deep Mine research program (Durrheim, 2007) sought to develop technologies to map reef displacements exceeding 2 m within 200 m of mining. A technology scan indicated that borehole radar and mine seismic profiling (MSP) stood the greatest chances of success (Stevenson et al., 2003). MSP is essentially the application of VSP techniques applied underground in boreholes with arbitrary orientations, including upholes. Sensors are clamped in boreholes and sources (e.g., sledge or pneumatic hammers) deployed in tunnels.

Seismic methods have been applied to map the geology of diamond pipes in South Africa and Botswana. In 2003, side scan sonar was used to map the wall of the Finsch Kimberlite pipe in South Africa (Cosma et al., 2007). The measurements were done in a borehole drilled from the country rock into the pipe, intersecting it at a depth of 110 m. A seismic response was obtained at distances of up to 150 m, with the signal energy in the 400–2400 Hz range, yielding a resolution of better than 1 m. During 2007, several seismic surveys were conducted over the Jwaneng diamond-bearing Kimberlite cluster of Botswana to identify a suitable site for the sinking of a 1000-m-deep ventilation shaft (Mathibele, 2007; Bate, 2011). The seismic surveys included VSP, multioffset VSP, and 10 conventional 2D reflection seismic profiles, each about 1 km in length.

Figure 15. Seismic section extracted from a 3D seismic cube from the Driefontein mine showing successful imaging of the Black Reef Formation (30-m-thick maximum) and VCR, which are two key horizons hosting major gold mineralization in the Witwatersrand Basin. The Ventersdorp Contact Reef (known as VCR horizon) is one of the major gold-bearing horizons in the Witwatersrand Basin and occurs as a distinct horizon (10–100-m-thick conglomerate) between the overlying c. 2714 Ma Klipriviersberg Group lavas and the underlying c. 2894–2714 Ma Central Rand Group rocks (see Figure 15). Courtesy of Gold Fields.

Figure 16. Three-dimensional geometry of the VCR at Kloof and South Deep mines, computed from edge detection seismic attribute (color bar is given in %, and the arrow points to the north). The north–northeast trending major fault, crosscutting the VCR, is the West Rand Fault which separates Kloof and South Deep mines from the west and east, respectively. The VCR is one of the major gold-bearing conglomerate horizons in the Witwatersrand Basin and occurs as a distinct horizon between the overlying c. 2714 Ma Klipriviersberg Group lavas and the underlying c. 2894–2714 Ma Central Rand Group rocks (see Figure 15). Courtesy of Gold Fields.
Outside South Africa, Gold Fields conducted a series of reflection seismic surveys in Namibia in support of their exploration and mining activities (Stevenson et al., 2003). One survey was conducted to search for Pb-Cu-Zn ore bodies, where mineralization is controlled by a pipelike paleokarst structure in a moderately folded dolomitic succession. Two ca. 3 km lines were acquired to search for other paleokarst structures. Although the contact between the dolomitic and underlying clastic strata gave rise to a strong reflection at depths of 200–300 m, no evidence of paleokarst features was found. A similar survey conducted at the Tschudi deposit, 25 km west of Tsumeb, detected faults that could have been conduits for mineralizing fluids.

LOOKING AHEAD: CHALLENGES AND FUTURE

Despite the remarkable success of the seismic reflection method in soft rock environments, the mining industry has been reluctant to embrace this technology. Until recently, its needs could be met by traditional methods (electromagnetic, induced-polarization, and potential-field surveying techniques). In addition, seismic methods are relatively costly, the interpretation sometimes is ambiguous, and the performance in hard-rock environments is variable. However, as discoveries of large near-surface deposits are becoming increasingly rare and the reserves of most economic minerals are in decline, it is clear that new deep exploration techniques, such as seismic methods, are required to meet the future needs of industry (Salisbury and Snyder, 2007). Eaton et al. (2003) identify six aspects that need careful consideration when planning a seismic survey. These are (1) acquisition of high-fold data; (2) the need to obtain high-frequency data; (3) forward seismic modeling of mineral deposits; (4) processing considerations with focus on refraction statics, surface-consistent deconvolution, and DMO corrections; (5) physical rock property measurements; and (6) migration considerations. Most of these aspects still require careful consideration when planning; however, the first three generally are given insufficient attention. In addition to these aspects, we present below several areas that need further research and development to enhance the capability of hard-rock seismic methods.

The universal challenge in geophysical methods is how to achieve ever-greater resolution and higher quality data in increasingly complex environments and at greater depths. A step in meeting this challenge recently was taken by field trials of high-resolution vertical seismic profiles (Pretorius et al., 2011). Anglo Platinum developed the method to eliminate geologic surprises prior to shaft sinking. The use of 3C downhole sensors has two immediate benefits: The travel distance of the reflected wave is reduced, hence, there is less attenuation of the higher frequencies; and S-waves, which travel at about 60% of the speed of the P-wave velocity and thus have an inherently shorter wavelength, can be used. Pretorius et al. (2011) report that S-wave VSP data give as much as an 80% improvement in resolution over surface seismic surveys (also see Bellefleur et al., 2004b). However, downhole seismic methods usually are implemented at the development stages or for mine planning. Greenwood et al. (2010) show that hydrophone VSP data can produce high-resolution images, twice as high as those obtained from surface seismic data. The implementation of hydrophone VSP is effective and relatively inexpensive and, hence, makes the use of VSP methods for mining exploration purposes accessible and attractive. With the addition of a baffling system and the use of a close hydrophone spacing, Greenwood et al. (2012) show that hydrophone arrays can be used to create high-resolution images away from a borehole that match the quality of geophone VSP data.

Three-component 3D seismic methods not only have the potential to improve seismic resolution, but also can potentially help to constrain seismic interpretations and may allow the prediction of composition, which is still a challenging topic in hard-rock seismic exploration (see Bohlen et al., 2003; Snyder et al., 2008; Malehmir et al., 2010; Malinowski and White, 2011; Bellefleur et al., 2012). In a similar manner to predictions routinely made in the hydrocarbon industry (i.e., amplitude variation with offset [AVO], elastic and full-waveform impedance inversion), hard-rock seismologists...
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should aim at providing information on the potential composition of seismic targets prior to any drilling. However, several challenges need to be overcome before such predictions can be made successfully. First, the real potential and usefulness of multicomponent data for mineral exploration needs to be demonstrated. Only a limited number of surveys have been acquired with this technology. Results are promising, but the best processing and imaging strategies still are ahead of us. Second, a significant effort should be made to produce high-quality true-amplitude sections or volumes. This is a significant challenge because many mining areas generally are characterized with low signal-to-noise ratio and discontinuous reflections that are difficult to image without the application of prestack automatic gain control to equalize amplitudes. Up to now, most case studies reported in the literature are not processed following AVO-friendly or true-amplitude processing flows. Finally, more information on the physical rock properties of complete ore systems is required to assess realistic seismic signatures. Work conducted in this area so far provides good indications on the average properties of an orebody. However, this is not sufficient, especially when knowing that ore systems are complex and are composed of several different components that are not distributed uniformly. Detailed petrophysical data combined with modeling tools should help improve our understanding of wavefields produced from complex, but realistic ore systems. This aspect is even more important for detailed seismic work conducted at the mine scale.

Diffractions, as illustrated by Khaidokov et al. (2004), were long treated as insignificant to traditional seismic processing and imaging. However, they contain useful information about the media velocity, anisotropy, target composition, and resolution. Therefore, although advanced processing algorithms (such as depth imaging methods) seem appropriate in imaging the complex geology of mining areas, the traditional DMO-stack (for diffraction identification) and poststack migration (to properly locate diffractions) method may be the only practical method because it allows the preservation of diffractions. Therefore, this algorithm is recommended to be implemented in the early processing stages to allow any potential diffraction to be preserved prior to migration (see also Eaton et al., 2003). For structural imaging, prestack depth migration algorithms should be best suited; however, prestack depth imaging is more sensitive to velocity model errors and statics, although DMO-based imaging algorithms appear to be relatively robust. Obtaining an accurate velocity model in hard-rock environments is challenging; nevertheless, therein lies an opportunity for specific method developments in depth imaging tailored for mining applications.

Although 3D surveys are not yet the norm in hard-rock exploration, 2D surveys confined to existing roads and forest tracks are very common. Therefore, it is important to make it clear to mining companies that drilling based on 2D seismic surveys is highly risky because it is common to image out-of-the-plane structures in 2D seismic data, especially in the complex folded, deformed, and faulted geology of mining areas (e.g., Malehmir et al., 2010). Detailed deep exploration drilling programs only should be considered after the implementation of 3D surveys. Three-dimensional seismic surveys also should be acquired with the main aim of providing information at a resolution comparable with 2D profiles. The fact that several 3D surveys exhibit lower quality seismic images than 2D images (see Vestrum and Gittins, 2009; Cheraghi et al., 2012) raises a point of concern about data density. High-fold 3D data should be acquired with a careful seismic data acquisition design.

Geologic conditions encountered in hard-rock environments differ significantly from sedimentary environments. Consequently, the transfer of knowledge from the oil and coal industries to the mineral industry is not always straightforward. For example, although acoustic inversion in soft rocks is a standard procedure, it is still poorly understood and underused in hard rocks. Harrison and Ursevic (2012) carry out 2D acoustic inversion and suggest that changes in acoustic impedance are possibly the most important seismic attribute, but these changes are not unique for different rock types. Hence, additional seismic attributes should be used to help characterize the rock type and rock alteration. Their results suggest that inversion (acoustic and elastic) of high-resolution 3D data may play a very important role in hard-rock seismic exploration in the future.

Petrophysical studies, specific acquisition approaches (target illumination), processing techniques (time and depth wavefield extrapolation and image reconstruction) and volumetric seismic interpretation that incorporates various seismic attributes, inversion, image processing routines, modeling and a high-level calibration of seismic data are needed. Although the hydrocarbon and mining environments differ, hard-rock seismic methodologies may be expected to continue to take advantage of advances in the seismic acquisition technology that is being driven by the hydrocarbon industry. In particular, the use of wireless recording systems and multicomponent sensors may be expected to expand in the future. To reduce the costs of 3D surveys, research on and development of hard-rock specific seismic sources is necessary. The lower attenuation (higher $Q$, due to the fact that scattering attenuation is more important because absorption is less prevalent) in hard rocks implies that sources that are suitable for the soft rock environment and developed by the hydrocarbon industry for probing it may not be the optimum for the hard-rock environment. Therefore, research on the development of high-frequency, low-cost seismic sources for mining applications is deemed necessary.

Three-dimensional integration of seismic results with verified geologic observations and other geophysical results will become an important interdisciplinary approach in most major mining camps worldwide. Three-dimensional, and even 4D geologic modeling studies (e.g., Dehghannejad et al., 2012), based on regional and mining camp scales and, sometimes, mine scale information, will be very important in limiting exploration targets suitable for 3D surveys and/or downhole seismic studies. A complete and comprehensive data integration program combined with modeling will help to reduce speculative interpretation, especially at greater depths, and provide greater value to industry.

CONCLUSIONS

We have presented past and recent trends in the use of seismic methods in mineral exploration and mine planning. It is obvious that seismic methods are becoming an important exploration tool, especially for depths greater than 500 m, where common geophysical methods lack the necessary resolution. In addition, 3D seismic surveys are becoming essential for mine planning. A complementary product of these 3D surveys is that new resources can be identified in conjunction with them. In order for seismic methodology to become even more common in the mining environment the cost of the surveys need to be further reduced. This will require that advances in seismic acquisition methodology within the hydrocarbon industry are adapted to the hard-rock environment. However, research
and development on hard-rock specific sources also is required. Higher Q and generally lower reflection coefficients in the hard-rock environment imply that seismic sources developed for the hydrocarbon industry may not be optimal. Once costs for seismic surveys reach a level where there is an obvious return in performing the survey, then more and more cases of direct detection of mineral deposits will arise. We expect the recent trend of increased use of seismic methods in the mining industry to accelerate in the coming years.
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